



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION'NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/465,514 | 12/16/1999 | HENRY M. GLADNEY | A7254 | 8969 |
| 7: | 590 04/22/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W | | | HA, LEYNNA A | |
| | LVANIA AVENUE N W N. DC 200373213 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE | |
| | · , | | 2135 | 5 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - ⁹ ' | | | 1424 | | |
|---|---|---|-------|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | 09/465,514 | GLADNEY, HENRY | Y M. | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | LEYNNA T. HA | 2135 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence add | dress | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this col ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 F | ebruary 2004. | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | awn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination. | cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in a prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National S | Stage | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Informal Patent Application (PTO- | -152) | | |

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-37 has been re-examined and this office action is a Non-Final rejection.
- 2. Claims 1-37 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Garg, Et Al. (US 6,625,603).

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 1:

Garg discloses a storage system comprising:

a first storage area having an object stored therein; and [see FIG.3A]

a second storage area having stored therein an object identifier that identifies the object, wherein the object identifier is unique within and outside of the storage system. [see col.6, line 66 - col.7, line 5]

As per claim 2:

Garg discloses the object identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID).

[see col.8, lines 1-13]

As per claim 3:

Garg discloses the first and second storage areas are storage areas within a database. [see FIG.3A and col.5, lines 20-34]

As per claim 4: Garg discloses the object identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID). [see col.8, lines 1-13]

As per claim 5:

Garg discloses the storage system is part of an access control system.

[see col.6, line 60 - col.7, line 46]

As per claim 6:

Garg discloses a memory comprising:

a first storage area having an object stored therein; and [see FIG.3A]

Art Unit: 2135

a second storage area having stored therein an object identifier that identifies the object, wherein the object identifier is unique within and outside of the storage system. [see col.6, line 66 - col.7, line 5]

As per claim 7: Garg discloses the object identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID). [see col.8, lines 1-13]

As per claim 8: Garg discloses the first and second storage areas are storage areas within a database. [see FIG.3A and col.5, lines 20-34]

As per claim 9: Garg discloses the object identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID). [see col.8, lines 1-13]

As per claim 10:

Garg discloses a method of storing information in a storage system, comprising:

storing an object in the storage system; and [see FIG.3A]

storing an object identifier in the storage system, wherein the object identifier identifies the object, and the object identifier is unique within and outside of the storage system. [see col.6, line 66 - col.7, line 5]

As per claim 11: as rejected on the same rationale as applied in claim 2.

As per claim 12:

Garg teaches the object identifier is stored in a database. [see FIG.2 and col.6, line 66 - col.7, line 5]

As per claim 13: as rejected on the same rationale as applied in claim 12.

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 14: Garg discloses the object identifier is a Universal Unique

Identifier (UUID). [see col.8, lines 1-13]

As per claim 15: Garg discloses the object identifier is a Universal Unique

Identifier (UUID). [see col.8, lines 1-13]

As per claim 16: Garg discloses the storage system is part of an access

control system. [see col.6, line 60 - col.7, line 46]

As per claim 17:

Garg discloses an access control method comprising:

requesting access for a user to a remote resource [see col.5 lines 48-50],

wherein the request includes a subject identifier for use in making an access

control decision [see col.7, lines 26-39], and wherein the subject identifier is

unique within and outside of the remote resource and identifies the user. [see

col.15, lines 39-54]

As per claim 18:

Garg discloses the subject identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID).

[see col.8, lines 1-13]

As per claim 19:

Garg discusses the request further includes a subject descriptor for use in the

access control decision. [see col.8, lines 23-43]

As per claim 20:

Garg discusses the subject descriptor is a UUID for an organizational structure

that includes the user. [col.9, lines 5-12]

Page 5

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 21:

Garg discusses the access control decision is made by a resource manager that

protects the remote resource, and the request is sent over a communications

path considered safe by the protecting resource manager and the user.

[see col.7, lines 6-60]

As per claim 22:

Garg discloses a computer-readable medium having computer-executable code

stored thereon comprising:

requesting access for a user to a remote resource [see col.5, lines 48-50],

wherein the request includes a subject identifier for use in making an access

control decision [see col.7, lines 26-39], and wherein the subject identifier is

unique within and outside of the remote resource and identifies the user. [see

col.15, lines 39-54]

As per claim 23: as rejected on the same rationale as applied in claim 18.

As per claim 24:

Garg discloses a method of identifying a user requesting access to an object,

comprising:

establishing a secure communication path between a reference monitor

protecting the object and a resource manager [see col.7, lines 6-60] having

information describing the user, in response to a request by the user to access

the object; [see col.8, lines 1-43]

Page 6

Art Unit: 2135

sending a request for user information from the protecting reference monitor to the resource manager [see col.7, lines 26-39], the request including a subject descriptor for the user, wherein the subject identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID). [see col.13, line 42 - col.14, line 30]

[see col.7 lines 30-35]

As per claim 25:

Garg discloses determining, based on the received user information, if the user has permission to access the request object. [see col.8 lines 45-53]

As per claim 26:

Garg discloses the user information includes information relating to an organization of which the user is member. [see col.14 lines 50-54]

As per claim 27:

Garg discloses an information storage management system, comprising:

a collection of stored objects; [see col.8, lines 11-14]

an access control unit for determining if a requestor is authorized to access a protected object stored in the collection; [see col.7 lines 26-39]

a resource manager connected to the access control unit and to a communication channel; [see FIG.3A]

wherein the resource manager receives a user's request for access to the protected object, the request including a globally unique identifier for the user requesting the access [see col.8, lines 44-50], and in response to the user's request the resource manager sends over the communications channel [see

Art Unit: 2135

col.4, lines 45 - col.6, line 25] to an external storage management system a request for information about the user [see col.7 lines 40-60], the request including the globally unique identifier; and [see col.13, line 42 - col.14, line 30]

wherein the resource manager upon receiving a response including user information about the user passes the user information to the access control unit [see col.7 lines 6-60]; and based on the user information the access control unit determines whether to grant the subject access to the protected object. [see col.14, lines 13-30]

As per claim 28:

Garg discloses the globally unique identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID). [see col.8, lines 1-13]

As per claim 29:

Garg discloses the user information is organization information indicating whether the user is a member of an organization. [see col.14 lines 50-54]

As per claim 30:

Garg discloses an information storage management system, comprising:

a collection of stored objects; [see col.8, lines 11-14]

an access control unit for determining if a requestor is authorized to access a protected object stored in the collection; [see col.7 lines 26-39]

a resource manager connected to the access control unit and to a communication channel; [see col.7, lines 6-60 and FIG.3A]

Art Unit: 2135

wherein the resource manager receives a user's request for access to the protected object, the request including a globally unique identifier for the user requesting the access [see col.8, lines 1-13], and in response to the user's request the resource manager resolves the globally unique identifier to a user identifier recognized by an external storage management system [see col.7 lines 40-60]; the resource manager sending to the external storage management system a request for information about the user, the request including the resolved user identifier; and [see col.9, lines 10 thru col.11, line 66]

wherein the resource manager upon receiving a response including user information about the user passes the user information to the access control unit [see col.7, lines 6-60]; and based on the user information the access control unit determines whether to grant the subject access to the protected object. [see col.15, lines 39-54]

As per claim 31:

Garg discloses the globally unique identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID). [see col.8, lines 1-13]

As per claim 32:

Garg discloses the user information is organization information indicating whether the user is a member of an organization. [see col.14 lines 50-54]

As per claim 33:

Garg discloses the resource manager resolves the globally unique identifier by using a name server. [see col.6, lines 46-53]

Application/Control Number: 09/465,514 Page 10

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 34:

Garg discloses a method of accessing a protected object, comprising:

sending a globally unique identifier for a user to a name resolving device, and receiving therefrom information about the user; and [see col.13, lines 3-67]

sending to a storage management system containing an object a request for access to the object, the request including the information about the user.

[see col.7 lines 26-39 and col.14, lines 1-15]

As per claim 35: as rejected on the same rationale as applied in claim 31.

As per claim 36:

Garg discloses a computer-readable medium of computer-executable code for accessing a protected object, comprising:

a first set of computer instructions for sending a globally unique identifier for a user to a name resolving device, and receiving therefrom information about the user; and [see col.15, lines 1-53]

a second set of computer instructions for sending to a storage management system containing an object a request for access to the object [see col.7 lines 26-39], the request including the information about the user. [see col.13, line 12 - col.14, line 30]

As per claim 37: as rejected on the same rationale as applied in claim 31.

**For more information and a better understanding of the rejection above, please refer to Garg, Et Al. on col.2...Et SEQ.

Art Unit: 2135

EXAMINER'S ARGUMENT

Claims 1-37 have been re-examined and the Examiner has applied new grounds of rejection with new prior art.

Garg, Et Al. disclose an object (col.8, lines 1-13) and an object identifier (col.11, lines 38-40) wherein is a Globally Unique Identifier (GUID) or also can be referred as Universal Unique Identifier (UUID). Needless to say but for purposes of applying art, GUID is known in the art to be unique internally and externally of the storage system, thus it is inherent the GUIDs are unique where ever it is being used. It is also inherent GUIDs tells a lot about the user such as the GUID of that particular user has certain access control rights and/or information pertaining to where the user came from, whether the user is from a secure or unsecured location/system.

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 09/465,514

Art Unit: 2135

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEYNNA T. HA whose telephone number is (703) 305-3853. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00 - 5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (703) 305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LHa